Intra- and inter-regional ethanol responsive gene networks of the mesolimbocortical reward pathway

Aaron R. Wolen¹, Charles A. Phillips⁴, Michael A. Langston⁴, Alex H. Putman, Nathan A. Bruce, Paul J. Vorster, Robert W. Williams⁵, Lu Lu⁵ and Michael F. Miles^{2,3}

¹Departments of Human and Molecular Genetics, ²Pharmacology and Toxicology, and ³Neurology Virginia Commonwealth University

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science University of Tennessee

⁵Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology University of Tennessee Health Sciences



Virginia Commonwealth University

abstract

The prefrontal cortex (PFC), nucleus accumbens (NAc) and ventral midbrain (VMb) are key brain regions that comprise the mesolimbocortical reward pathway. Previously, we have demonstrated that the transcriptomes of these three regions are robustly altered by exposure to acute ethanol in naive BXD recombinant inbred (RI) strains. As initial level of response (LR) to ethanol is a heritable trait that predicts long term risk for alcohol use disorders (AUDs), acute ethanol induced gene expression changes within these regions may represent key intermediate phenotypes that stand between AUD susceptibility and the causal genetic variants. Here, we attempted to reconstruct the biological pathways underlying LR variability by identifying ethanol responsive gene (ERG) networks within and across the PFC, NAc and VMb. Each ERG network represents a group of genes that exhibit a tightly correlated transcriptional response to acute ethanol across all profiled RI strains. Intra-region ERG networks were significantly enriched for genes involved in nervous system development and synaptic transmission. While characterizing intra-region ERG networks provides valuable insights into region-specific consequences of acute ethanol exposure, many of the key neuroadaptations associated with the development of AUDs and addiction to other drugs of abuse are attributed to changes that alter communication between brain regions. We therefore constructed inter-region ERG networks, composed of genes whose response to acute ethanol is correlated across brain regions, in order to identify ethanol sensitive pathways that connect regions of the mesolimbocortical system. We found that the frequency of NAc/ PFC connections were more common than NAc/VMb or PFC/VMb connections. These results will provide novel information about the molecular pathways that underlie acute ethanol sensitivity and may provide novel AUD susceptibility candidate genes.



materials & methods

Mice: Thirty five members of the BXD family and their progenitors, the C57BL6/J (B6) and DBA/2J (D2) strains, were euthanized 4 hours following an intraperitoneal injection of either saline or ethanol (1.8g/kg). Medial prefrontal cortex, nucleus accumbens and ventral midbrain tissue was isolated and subjected to RNA extraction as previously described (Kerns, 2005). Each BXD strain consisted of 5-8 mice per treatment and each progenitor strain consisted of 16 mice per treatment. Microarray analysis: Pooled samples of 4-5 mice were processed according to the Affymetrix GeneChip standard protocol and hybridized to Mouse Genome 430 2.0 arrays. We used the Sscore algorithm (Zhang, 2002) to measure the ethanol induced change in transcript abundance by comparing expression levels between concordant BXD strains across the saline and ethanol treatment groups. The statistical significance of a probe-set's ethanol response was assessed using Fisher's Combined Probability Test to summarize each probe-set's S-scores across all strains and comparing these values to 10,000 random permutations of the observed S-score matrix. Gene network construction: The Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network (WGCNA) package for R (Langfelder, 2008) was used to identify co-expression networks within the 3,520 probe-sets that exhibited a significant ethanol response in at least one the three profiled brain regions (Fig. 1).







this analysis were used to color code the table.

	Module	Hub gene	Module membership	Ethanol response
FC	Turquoise	Aes amino-terminal enhancer of split	0.97	5.93E-05
	Blue	Grm3 metabotropic glutamate receptor	0.98	0.00E+00
	Cyan	Mtap2 microtubule-associated protein 2	0.96	1.67E-04
	Magenta	Nptxr neuronal pentraxin receptor	0.94	5.02E-03
	Brown	Mtap1b methyltransferase domain containing 1	0.96	0.00E+00
Ac	Turquoise	Pcmtd1 metabotropic glutamate receptor	0.96	1.97E-03
	Brown	Evi5 ecotropic viral integration site 5	0.97	0.00E+00
	Black	Ptprn protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor	0.96	1.35E-01
	Green	Klf12 Kruppel-like factor 12	0.97	8.79E-04
	Cyan	Kif5a kinesin family member 5A	0.91	2.94E-05
	Turquoise	Rnf14 ring finger protein 14	0.99	0.00E+00

Figure 4 The expression profile of each module was summarized by generating module eigengenes. On average, each eigengene accounted for more than 50% of the expression variance in its constituent module. In order to examine the relationships between intra- and inter-regional modules we constructed an eigengene network (visualized in the above heatmap). This analysis provides evidence for strong interactions among intra-region modules. As expected, cross-region interactions are rarer. Fig. 4b although several PFC modules appeared to have relationships with modules in the NAC. For example the magenta PFC eigengene was significantly correlated with the turquoise NAc eigengen (r = 0.41, p-value = 0.02).



Figure 6 The biological significance of these modules was determined by searching for enrichment of gene ontology categories or genes that participate in known molecular pathways. These analyses were conducted using ToppGene, available at http://toppgene.cchmc.org. We limited the analysis to probe only categories that contained between 3 and 300 members and

- co-regulated modules of ethanol responsive genes within PFC, NAc and VMB.
- While the gene networks represented by these modules are largely preserved across the mesolimbocortical reward pathway, the transcriptional response of these networks to ethanol is largely region specific.
- Inter-modular connections are stronger between the PFC and NAc than any combination of connections including the VMB.
- Genes encoding for synaptic proteins and responsible for regulating neurotransmitter systems are highly over represented in these ethanol responsive gene networks.
- Many of the strongest phenotypic correlations with these gene modules were related to drug response phenotypes.
- Genetic manipulation of hub genes may represent the best strategy for validating these networks. However, the regional specificity of hub genes indicates that targeted brain regions must be chosen very carefully.

tools

R (http://www.r-project.org) | ggplot2 (http://had.co.nz/ggplot2) **GeneNetwork** (webqtl.org) | **ToppGene** (http://toppgene.cchmc.org)

Figure 1 A large subset of the 3,520 ethanol responsive probe-sets identified in the S-score analysis were differentially expressed in multiple profiled brain regions.

Table 1 Hub genes are the most highly interconnected members of a gene network and may represent the key drivers of a corresponding network. Table 1 presents a subset of top module hub genes that were identified by calculating each gene's intramodular connectivity. Table 1 also provides a module membership score, which indicates how strongly a gene relates to a module based on its correlation with the module eigengene, as well as ethanol responsive p-values from the analysis of Sscores. Figure 5 In the adjacent grid of line graphs, each hub gene's intramodular connectivity (scaled to adjust for differences in module size) is plotted across all three brain regions. While genes such as Grm3 and Mtap2 appear to be highly connected across regions, the hub status for many genes appears highly region specific.

WGCNA

Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis *BMC bioinformatics*, *9*, 559. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

S-score algorithm

Zhang, L., Wang, L., Ravindranathan, A., & Miles, M. (2002). A new algorithm for analysis of oligonucleotide arrays: application to expression profiling in mouse brain regions. Journal of molecular biology, 317(2), 225–235. doi:10.1006/jmbi. 2001.5350

Supported by NIAAA INIA grants AA016667 and AA016662, and NIMH grant MH-20030.